



DNAL PREVIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 6448/I/002	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.	International filing da	te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/DE2003/003465	16 October 200	3 (16.10.2003)	18 October 2002 (18.10.2002)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n G01L 5/28	national classification an	nd IPC			
Applicant I	FEMBOECK AUT	OMOTIVE GMBI	1		
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans			International Preliminary Examining 5.		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	_6 sheets,	including this cover s	heet.		
3. This report is also accompanied by A					
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bu	reau) a total of	sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the desc and/or sheets con Administrative In	taining rectifications au	rawings which have be thorized by this Autho	een amended and are the basis of this report brity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
sheets which super beyond the disclo Supplemental Box	sure in the internationa	t which this Authority I application as filed,	considers contain an amendment that goes as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
· _ ·	, containdicated in the Supplem	ning a sequence listing	pe and number of electronic carrier(s)) g and/or tables related thereto, in computer b Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the		
4. This report contains indications relat	ting to the following ite	ms:			
Box No. I Basis of the re	port				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
——————————————————————————————————————					
Box No. VII Certain defects	s in the international app	olication			
· ·	ations on the internation				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion or	f this report		
17 May 2004 (17.05.2004)		18 2	April 2005 (18.04.2005)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer			
Faccimile No.		Telephone No			



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2003/003465

Box Mo		Basis of the report					
		to the language, this report is based on the international application in the landicated under this item.	anguage in which it was filed, unless				
		report is based on translations from the original language into the follows is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:	ring language,				
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)					
	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)						
furni:	shed to tre not	d to the elements of the international application, this report is based on the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referenced to this report): International application as originally filed/furnished	on (replacement sheets which have been rred to in this report as "originally filed"				
	the d	escription:					
l	pages		, as originally filed/furnished				
}	pages						
	pages	* received by this Authority on					
\boxtimes	the cl	aims:					
]	pages	1-59	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages	*, as amended (t	ogether with any statement) under Article 19				
	pages						
	pages	* received by this Authority on					
	the di	rawings:					
	pages	410.010	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages						
	pages	* received by this Authority on					
	a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to	Sequence Listing.				
3. 🗌	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
	\sqcap	the claims, Nos.					
1	Ħ	the drawings, sheets/figs					
	H	the sequence listing (specify):					
	H	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to sequence fishing (specify).					
4.	made,	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, 70.2(c)). the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
* If iten	ı 4 app	plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					

7. —–	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
١.	Statement			·				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-59	YES				
		Claims		NO				
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	11-17	YES				
		Claims	1-10, 18-59	NO				
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-59	YES				
	Claims		NO					

Citations and explanations

1. Closest prior art

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-1976632

D2: US-B-6345237

D3: DE-A-19505533.

2. Novelty

Document D1 is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1. Said document discloses (the references between parentheses refer to D1):

a test stand for motor vehicles, said stand comprising a rolling arrangement (2, 3) with a running surface (2) for rotating the wheels (1), wherein:

 the running surface (2) is rotatively driven and can preferably be locked and/or braked and/or released;

/ . . .

- the rolling arrangement (2, 3) is mounted with one degree of freedom in such a way that it is at least slightly displaceable;
- in order to determine the force generated by the motor vehicle when it brakes, the force acting between the rolling arrangement (1) and a predeterminable fixed point during braking can be measured.

Thus, the subject matter of claim 1 differs from the known test stand in that the rolling arrangement (2, 3) is mounted with two degrees of freedom in such a way that it is at least slightly displaceable.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3. Inventive step

The problem addressed by the present application can thus be regarded as that of ensuring that the rolling arrangement (1) be mounted with at least two degrees of freedom in such a way that it is at least slightly displaceable and, thus, the force exerted on the rollers during different vehicle tests can be determined.

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered inventive (PCT Article 33(3)). The reasons are the following:

Document D2 discloses a vehicle testing arrangement comprising the following features:

a roller (1) is mounted with two degrees of freedom in such a way that it can be displaced in a perpendicular and a horizontal direction relative to the direction of travel (see figures 1, 2).

This feature makes it possible to carry out a plurality of vehicle tests using a single testing device.

If a person skilled in the art wished to achieve the same objective in a test stand as per document D1, he could easily apply these features to like effect to the subject matter of D1. In this way, he would arrive at a test stand as per claim 1 without thereby being inventive.

4. Dependent claims

4.1 Positive evaluation

The combination of features in claims 11-17 is not known from the available prior art, nor is it obvious therefrom.

4.2 Negative evaluation

Dependent claims 22-10 and 18-59 contain no features which, combined with the features of any claim to which they relate, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and/or inventive step. The reasons are as follows:

Document D2 discloses a vehicle testing arrangement comprising the following features:

a roller (1) is mounted with two degrees of freedom in such a way that it can be displaced in a perpendicular and a horizontal direction relative to the direction of travel (see figures 1, 2).

This feature makes it possible to carry out a plurality of vehicle tests using a single testing device.

If a person skilled in the art wished to achieve the same objective in a test stand as per document D1, he could easily apply these features to like effect to the subject matter of D1. In this way, he would arrive at a test stand as per claim 1 without thereby being inventive.

4. Dependent claims

4.1 Positive evaluation

The combination of features in claims 11-17 is not known from the available prior art, nor is it obvious therefrom.

4.2 Negative evaluation

Dependent claims 22-10 and 18-59 contain no features which, combined with the features of any claim to which they relate, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and/or inventive step. The reasons are as follows:

INTERNATIONAL PREISMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Claims 2 and 4: all the features of said claims are - to be found in document D2 (see figures 1 and 2).

Claims 5, 6, 9 and 10: document D3 discloses a test stand for motor vehicles, wherein:

- a rolling arrangement (16) can be rotated about a vertical z-axis within a frame (24) (see figure 4);
- the frame (24) is mounted in an oscillating arrangement (see figure 8).

The fact that the rolling arrangement (16) can be rotated makes it possible to test the braking and running characteristics of a wheel travelling round a bend. It was therefore obvious for a person skilled in the art also to apply said features to a test stand as per document D1 to like effect and, in this way, to would arrive at a test stand according to claims 5, 6, 9 and 10.

Claims 3, 7, 8 and 18-59: the features of said claims concern, in each case, only one of several obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances in order to solve the problem of interest, without thereby being inventive.